

Affine cellularity of affine Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras

Weideng Cui

Abstract

We show that the affine BMW algebras are affine cellular algebras.

Keywords: Affine BMW algebras; Extended affine Hecke algebras; Affine cellular bases; Affine cellular algebras

1 Introduction

The origin of the Birman-Murakami-Wenzl (BMW) algebras may be traced back to the important problem in knot theory of classifying knots (and links) up to isotopy. Shortly after the invention of the Jones link invariant in [J], Kauffman introduced a new invariant of regular isotopy for links in S^3 , determined by certain skein relations [K]. Birman and Wenzl [BW] and independently Murakami [Mu] then defined a family of algebras, which were quotients of braid group algebras, and from which Kauffman's invariant could be recovered. These algebras, which were known as BMW algebras, were defined by generators and relations, but were implicitly modeled on certain algebras of tangles, whose definition was subsequently made explicitly by Morton and Traczyk [MT], as follows: let S be a commutative unitary ring with invertible elements ρ, q , and δ_0 satisfying $\rho^{-1} - \rho = (q^{-1} - q)(\delta_0 - 1)$. The Kauffman tangle algebra $KT_{n,S}$ is the S -algebra of framed (n, n) -tangles in the disc cross the interval, modulo the following Kauffman skein relations:

- (1) Crossing relation:
- (2) Untwisting relation:
- (3) Free loop relation:

Morton and Traczyk [MT] showed that the n -strand algebra $KT_{n,S}$ is free of rank $(2n - 1)!!$ as a module over S . In [MW], Morton and Wassermann have constructed an explicit basis of the BMW algebras and using it they have proved that the BMW algebras and the Kauffman tangle algebras are isomorphic. They are closely connected with the Artin braid group of type A , Iwahori-Hecke algebras of type A .

In view of these relationships between the BMW algebras and objects of type A , it is natural to generalize the BMW algebras for other types of Artin

groups. Motivated by knot theory associated with the Artin group of type B , Häring-Oldenburg [HO] introduced the cyclotomic BMW algebras $W_{n,S,r}$ as a generalization of the BMW algebras such that the Ariki-Koike algebra $H_{n,S,r}$ (also known as the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type $G(r, 1, n)$) arises as a quotient of $W_{n,S,r}$, in the same way the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type A is a quotient of the BMW algebra. They are obtained from the BMW algebras by adding an extra generator y_1 satisfying a monic polynomial relation of finite order r and imposing further relations modeled on type B knot theory. When $r = 1$, one retrieves the original BMW algebras.

The cellularity of the BMW algebras has been shown by Xi [Xi] using the basis in [MW] (see also [E1]). It has been shown in [GM] that if S is an integral domain and admissible in the sense of [WY1], then $W_{n,S,r}$ is a free S -module of rank $r^n(2n-1)!!$, and is isomorphic to a cyclotomic version of the Kauffman tangle algebra. In [RX], Rui-Xu have also shown that $W_{n,S,r}$ is a free S -module under $\mathbf{u}$ -admissible conditions on the parameters of S . Goodman [G1] and Rui-Xu [RX] have obtained the weak cellularity results on $W_{n,S,r}$ over an admissible (a $\mathbf{u}$ -admissible) ring respectively, Rui-Xu have obtained additional representation theoretic results, for example, classification of simple modules over a field. It is proved in [G2] that admissible conditions in [WY1] and $\mathbf{u}$ -admissible condition in [RX] are equivalent for integral ground rings S with $q - q^{-1} \neq 0$. Yu [Yu] (see also [WY2-3]) has also shown that $W_{n,S,r}$ over an admissible ground ring S is a free S -module of rank $r^n(2n-1)!!$, is isomorphic to a cyclotomic version of the Kauffman tangle algebra, and is cellular in the sense of Graham and Lehrer.

It is also natural to “affine” the BMW algebras to obtain BMW analogues of the extended affine Hecke algebras of type A . On the one hand, Häring-Oldenburg [HO] defined the affine BMW algebras algebraically by generators and relations; on the other hand, Goodman and Hauschild [GH] arrived at the definition of the affine Kauffman tangle algebras geometrically as algebras of framed (n, n) -tangles in the solid torus, modulo Kauffman skein relations. In [GH], They have shown that the two versions are isomorphic. Rui [Ru] has classified the finite dimensional irreducible modules for affine BMW algebras over an algebraically closed field with arbitrary characteristic using the work of Goodman [G3].

Recently, Koenig and Xi in [KX2] has generalized this concept to algebras over a noetherian domain k of not necessarily finite dimension, by introducing the notion of an affine cellular algebra. The most important class of examples of affine cellular algebras, which has been discussed in [KX2], is given by the extended affine Hecke algebras of type A . Recently, Guilhot and Miemietz have proved that affine Hecke algebras of rank two with generic parameters are affine cellular in [GuM]; Kleshchev and Loubert have proved that KLR algebras of finite type are affine cellular in [KL].

In [C1] and [C2], we have shown that the BLN-algebras which have been introduced by McGerty in [M], and the affine q -Schur algebras which have been defined by Lusztig in [L], are affine cellular algebras. In [C3], we have shown that the affine Brauer algebra, which has been introduced in [HO] (see also [GH]), is also affine cellular.

In this paper, we show that the techniques of [G1] can be modified to yield an affine cellular basis of the affine BMW algebras, thus we show that the affine BMW algebras are affine cellular algebras. Our proof relies heavily on the basis of the affine BMW algebras which has been constructed in [G1] and the fact that the extended affine Hecke algebras of type A are affine cellular algebras, which has been shown in [KX2].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will recall many notions, especially the affine BMW algebras $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ and the extended affine Hecke algebras $\widehat{H}_{n,S}(q)$ of type A , and define the affine cellular bases. In Section 3, we will recall a construction of some new basis of $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ which has been given by Goodman. In Section 4, we prove our main result Theorem 4.1.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definitions

In the following, let S be a commutative unitary ring containing elements ρ, q and $\delta_j, j \geq 0$ with ρ, q invertible, satisfying the relation $\rho^{-1} - \rho = (q^{-1} - q)(\delta_0 - 1)$.

Definition 2.1. The affine Kauffman tangle algebra $\widehat{KT}_{n,S}$ is the S -algebra of framed (n, n) -tangles in the annulus cross the interval, modulo Kauffman skein relations, namely the crossing relation and untwisting relation, as given in the introduction, and the free loop relations: for $j \geq 0$, $T \cup \Theta_j = \rho^{-j} \delta_j T$, where $T \cup \Theta_j$ is the union of an affine tangle T and a disjoint copy of the closed curve Θ_j that wraps j times around the hole in the annulus cross the interval.

Affine tangles can be represented by affine tangle diagrams. These are pieces of link diagrams in the rectangle $\mathcal{R}$, with some number of endpoints of curves on the top and bottom boundaries of $\mathcal{R}$, and a distinguished vertical segment representing the hole in the annulus cross interval (we call this curve the flagpole). Affine tangle diagrams are regarded as equivalent if they are regularly isotopic; see [GM] for details. An affine (n, n) -tangle diagram is one with n vertices (endpoints of curves) on the top, and n vertices on the bottom edge of $\mathcal{R}$. We label the vertices on the top edge from left to right as $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n}$ and those on the bottom edge from left to right as $\overline{\mathbf{1}}, \overline{\mathbf{2}}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{n}}$. We order the vertices by $\mathbf{1} < \mathbf{2} < \dots < \mathbf{n} < \overline{\mathbf{n}} < \dots < \overline{\mathbf{2}} < \overline{\mathbf{1}}$.

Definition 2.2. The affine Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebra $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ is the S -algebra with generators $y_1^{\pm 1}, g_i^{\pm 1}$ and e_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and relations:

- (1) (Inverses) $g_i g_i^{-1} = g_i^{-1} g_i = 1$ and $y_1 y_1^{-1} = y_1^{-1} y_1 = 1$.
- (2) (Idempotent relation) $e_i^2 = \delta_0 e_i$.
- (3) (Type B braid relations)
 - (a) $g_i g_{i+1} g_i = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1}$ and $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$ if $|i-j| \geq 2$.
 - (b) $y_1 g_1 y_1 g_1 = g_1 y_1 g_1 y_1$ and $y_1 g_j = g_j y_1$ if $j \geq 2$.
- (4) (Commutation relations)
 - (a) $g_i e_j = e_j g_i$ and $e_i e_j = e_j e_i$ if $|i-j| \geq 2$.
 - (b) $y_1 e_j = e_j y_1$ if $j \geq 2$.
- (5) (Affine tangle relations)
 - (a) $e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i$.
 - (b) $g_i g_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_{i\pm 1} e_i$ and $e_i g_{i\pm 1} g_i = e_i e_{i\pm 1}$.
 - (c) For $j \geq 1$, $e_1 y_1^j e_1 = \delta_j e_1$.
- (6) (Kauffman skein relation) $g_i - g_i^{-1} = (q^{-1} - q)(e_i - 1)$.
- (7) (Untwisting relations) $g_i e_i = e_i g_i = \rho^{-1} e_i$ and $e_i g_{i\pm 1} e_i = \rho e_i$.
- (8) (Unwrapping relation) $e_1 y_1 g_1 y_1 = \rho e_1 = y_1 g_1 y_1 e_1$.

Let X_1, G_i, E_i denote the following affine tangle diagrams:

Theorem 2.1. (see [GH]) *The affine BMW algebra $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ is isomorphic to the affine Kauffman tangle algebra $\widehat{KT}_{n,S}$ by a map φ determined by $\varphi(g_i) = G_i$, $\varphi(e_i) = E_i$ and $\varphi(y_1) = \rho X_1$.*

Because of Theorem 2.1, we will no longer take care to distinguish between affine BMW algebras and their realizations as algebras of tangles. We identify e_i and g_i with the corresponding affine tangle diagrams and $x_1 = \rho^{-1} y_1$ with the affine tangle diagram X_1 . The ordinary BMW algebra $W_{n,S}$ embeds in the affine BMW algebra $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ as the subalgebra generated by the e_i 's and g_i 's.

2.2 The rank of tangle diagrams

An ordinary or affine tangle diagram T with n strands is said to have rank $\leq r$ if it can be written as a product $T = T_1 T_2$, where T_1 is an ordinary or affine (r, n) -tangle and T_2 is an ordinary or affine (n, r) -tangle.

2.3 The algebra involution $*$ on BMW algebras

Each of the ordinary and affine BMW algebras admits a unique anti-automorphism involution $*$, which is given by $a \mapsto a^*$, and fixes each of the generators g_i, e_i (and x_1 in the affine case). For an ordinary or affine tangle diagram T representing an element of one of these algebras, T^* is the diagram obtained by flipping T around a horizontal axis.

2.4 The extended affine Hecke algebras of type A

Definition 2.3. Let S be a commutative unitary ring with an invertible element q . The extended affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{n,S}(q)$ of type A over S is the S -algebra with generators $t_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$, with the following relations:

- (1) The generators τ_i are invertible, satisfy the braid relations, and $\tau_i - \tau_i^{-1} = q - q^{-1}$.
- (2) The generators t_1 is invertible, $t_1 \tau_1 t_1 \tau_1 = \tau_1 t_1 \tau_1 t_1$ and t_1 commutes with τ_j for $j \geq 2$.

If a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ has a reduced expression $\pi = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}$, then $\tau_\pi = \tau_{i_1} \tau_{i_2} \cdots \tau_{i_r}$, which is independent of the choice of the reduced expressions of π . Define elements t_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) in the affine Hecke algebra by $t_j = \tau_{j-1} \tau_{j-2} \cdots \tau_1 t_1 \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{j-2} \tau_{j-1}$. It is well-known that the affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{n,S}(q)$ is a free S -module with basis the set of elements $\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b$, where $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathbf{t}^b$ denotes a Laurent monomial in $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$.

Let S be a commutative ring with appropriate parameters ρ, q, δ_j . There is an algebra homomorphism $p : \widehat{W}_{n,S} \rightarrow \widehat{H}_{n,S}(q)$ determined by $g_i \mapsto \tau_i, e_i \mapsto 0$ and $x_1 \mapsto t_1$. The kernel of p is the ideal I_n spanned by affine tangle diagrams with rank strictly less than n .

The extended affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{n,S}(q)$ has a unique involutive algebra anti-automorphism $*$ fixing the generators τ_i and t_1 . Then we have $\tau_w = \tau_{w^{-1}}$ for any $w \in W$, where W is the extended affine Weyl group of type A . The quotient map p respects the involutions, that is, $p(x^*) = p(x)^*$.

We have a linear section $t : \widehat{H}_{n,S}(q) \rightarrow \widehat{W}_{n,S}$ of the map p determined by $t(\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b) = g_\pi \mathbf{x}^b$. Moreover, $t(x^*) \equiv t(x)^* \pmod{I_n}$ and $t(x)t(y) \equiv t(xy) \pmod{I_n}$ for any $x, y \in \widehat{H}_{n,S}(q)$.

2.5 Affine cellular bases

We recall the definition of affine cellularity from [KX2]. The version of the definition given here is slightly weaker than the original definition in [KX2].

Let k be a noetherian domain. For a k -algebra A , a k -linear anti-automorphism i of A satisfying $i^2 = id_A$ will be called a k -involution on A . For two k -modules V and W , we denote by τ the map $V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$ given by $\tau(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v$. If $B = k[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$ for some ideal I in a polynomial ring in finitely many variables $x_1, \dots, x_t$ over k , then B is called an affine k -algebra.

Definition 2.4. Let k be a noetherian domain, and let A be a unitary k -algebra. An affine cell datum for A consists of a k -involution $*$ on A ; a finite partially ordered set $(\Lambda, \geq)$ and for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ a finite set $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, an affine

k -algebra B_λ with a k -involution σ_λ ; and a subset $\mathcal{C} = \{c_{s,t}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } s, t \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)\} \subset A$; with the following properties:

(a) For each $\mu \in \Lambda$, let $\widehat{A}^\mu$ be the right B_μ -span of the $c_{s,t}^\mu$ for $s, t \in \mathcal{T}(\mu)$, then the set $\{c_{s,t}^\mu\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{T}(\mu)}$ is a B_μ -basis of the right B_μ -module $\widehat{A}^\mu$. Moreover, we have $A = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda} \widehat{A}^\mu$ (direct sums of k -modules).

(b) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\widehat{A}^{>\lambda} = \sum_{\mu > \lambda} \widehat{A}^\mu$. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $s \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, and $a \in A, b \in B_\lambda$, there exist coefficients $r_v^s(a) \in B_\lambda$ such that for all $t \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, we have

$$a \cdot (c_{s,t}^\lambda \cdot b) \equiv \sum_{v \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)} c_{v,t}^\lambda \cdot r_v^s(a) b \pmod{\widehat{A}^{>\lambda}},$$

where the coefficients $r_v^s(a) \in B_\lambda$ are independent of t .

(c) For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, and for any $b \in B_\lambda$, then we have $(c_{s,t}^\lambda \cdot b)^* \equiv c_{t,s}^\lambda \cdot \sigma_\lambda(b) \pmod{\widehat{A}^{>\lambda}}$.

A is said to be an affine cellular algebra if it has an affine datum. For brevity, we will say that $\mathcal{C}$ is an affine cellular basis of A .

In [GL], they have introduced the notion of cellular algebras; in [KX1], Koenig and Xi have given an equivalent definition without using bases. In analogy to the proof of the equivalences of the two definitions, we can easily show that the definition of affine cellularity in Definition 2.4 is equivalent to the following basis-free definition of affine cellularity, which has been given by Koenig and Xi in [KX2].

Definition 2.5. (see [KX2, Definition 2.1]) Let A be a unitary k -algebra with a k -involution i . A two-sided ideal J in A is called an affine cell ideal if and only if the following data are given and the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) We have $i(J) = J$.

(2) There exist a free k -module of finite rank and an affine k -algebra B with a k -involution σ such that $\Delta := V \otimes_k B$ is an A - B -bimodule, where the right B -module structure is induced by the right regular B -module B_B .

(3) There is an A - A -bimodule isomorphism $\alpha : J \rightarrow \Delta \otimes_B \Delta'$, where $\Delta' = B \otimes_k V$ is a B - A -bimodule with the left B -module induced by the left regular B -module ${}_B B$ and with the right A -module structure defined by $(b \otimes v)a := \tau(i(a)(v \otimes b))$ for $a \in A, b \in B$ and $v \in V$, such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Delta \otimes_B \Delta' \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow v \otimes b \otimes_B b' \otimes w \mapsto w \otimes \sigma(b') \otimes_B \sigma(b) \otimes v \\ J & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Delta \otimes_B \Delta' \end{array}$$

The algebra A together with its k -involution i is called affine cellular if

and only if there is a chain of i -invariant two-sided ideals of A : $0 = J_0 \subset J_1 \subset J_2 \subset \cdots \subset J_n = A$, where each J_m/J_{m-1} ($1 \leq m \leq n$) is an affine cell ideal of A/J_{m-1} (with respect to the involution induced by i on the quotient).

For an affine k -algebra B with a k -involution σ , a free k -module V of finite rank and a k -bilinear form $\varphi : V \otimes_k V \rightarrow B$, denote by $\mathbb{A}(V, B, \varphi)$ the (possibly non-unital) algebra given as a k -module by $V \otimes_k B \otimes_k V$, on which we impose the multiplication $(v_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes w_1)(v_2 \otimes b_2 \otimes w_2) := v_1 \otimes b_1 \varphi(w_1, v_2) b_2 \otimes w_2$.

For completeness, we will give the proof of the equivalences of the two definitions as follows.

Proof. (1) Given Definition 2.4. Fix a maximal index λ . Let $J(\lambda)$ be the right B_λ -span of the basis element $c_{s,t}^\lambda$. By (b) and (c), this is a two-sided ideal and is fixed by the involution $*$. Fix any index t , define V as the k -span of $c_{s,t}^\lambda$ (where s varies). We define $\alpha : J(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V, B_\lambda, \varphi)$, where φ is a k -bilinear form $\varphi : V \otimes_k V \rightarrow B_\lambda$, by sending $c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b$ to $c_{u,t}^\lambda \otimes b \otimes c_{v,t}^\lambda$. It is easy to check that it is an isomorphism as an algebra and an A - A -bimodule. Thus $J(\lambda)$ is an affine cell ideal by [KX2, Proposition 2.2 and 2.3]. Continuing by induction, it follows that A is affine cellular in the sense of Definition 2.5.

(2) Conversely, if an affine cell ideal J , in the sense of Definition 2.5, is given. We choose a set of right B -basis, say $\{c_s\}$, of Δ , and denote by $c_{s,t} \cdot b$ the inverse image under α of $c_s \otimes b \otimes_B 1 \otimes c_t$. Since α is an A - A -bimodule isomorphism and Δ is an A - B -bimodule, (b) is satisfied. $(c_{s,t} \cdot b)^* = (c_{s,t})^* \cdot \sigma(b)$ follows from the required commutative diagram. This finishes the proof for those basis elements occurring in an affine cell ideal. Induction (on the length of the chain of ideals J_j) provides us with an affine cellular basis of the quotient algebra A/J . Choose any preimages in A of these basis elements together with a basis of J as above we produce an affine cellular basis of A . $\square$

Remark 2.1. (1) The original definition in [KX2] also requires that A has a k -module decomposition $A = J'_1 \oplus J'_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus J'_n$ (for some n) with $i(J'_l) = J'_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$, which is equivalent to requiring that $(c_{s,t}^\lambda \cdot b)^* = c_{t,s}^\lambda \cdot \sigma_\lambda(b)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $b \in B_\lambda$ in Definition 2.4. However, we can check that the basic consequences in [KX2] remain valid with our weaker descriptions. For this reason, we have retained the terminology “affine cellularity” for our weaker definition, rather than inventing some new terminology such as “weak affine cellularity.”

(2) In case $2 \in k$ is invertible, one can easily check that our definition is equivalent to the original one.

3 Some bases of the affine BMW algebras

3.1 $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagrams

We recall that a Brauer diagram is a tangle diagram in the plane, in which information about over- and under-crossings is ignored. Let G be a group. A G -Brauer diagram (or G -connector) is a Brauer diagram in which each strand is endowed with an orientation and labeled by an element of the group G . Two labelings are regarded as the same if the orientation of a strand is reversed and the group element associated to the strand is inverted.

Define a map c from oriented affine (n, n) -tangle diagrams without closed loops to $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagrams as follows. Let a be an oriented affine (n, n) -tangle diagram without closed loops. If s connects two vertices $\mathbf{v}_1$ to $\mathbf{v}_2$, include a curve $c(s)$ in $c(a)$ connecting the same vertices with the same orientation, and label the oriented strand $c(s)$ with the winding number of s with respect to the flagpole.

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ can be regarded as the subset of (n, n) -Brauer diagrams consisting of diagrams with only vertical strands. $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on ordinary or $\mathbb{Z}$ -labeled (n, n) -Brauer diagrams on the left and on the right by the usual multiplication of diagrams, that is, by stacking diagrams.

We consider a particular family of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Let s be an integer, $0 \leq s \leq n$, with s congruent to $n \bmod 2$. Write $f = (n-s)/2$. Following Enyang [E2], let $\mathcal{D}_{f,n}$ be the set of permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ satisfying:

- (1) If i, j are even numbers with $2 \leq i < j \leq 2f$, then $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$.
- (2) If i is odd with $1 \leq i \leq 2f-1$, then $\pi(i) < \pi(i+1)$.
- (3) If $2f+1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$.

Then $\mathcal{D}_{f,n}$ is a complete set of left coset representatives of

$$((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_f) \times \mathfrak{S}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n,$$

where the f copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ are generated by the transpositions $(2i-1, 2i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$; $\mathfrak{S}_f$ permutes the f blocks $[2i-1, 2i]$ among themselves; and $\mathfrak{S}_s$ acts on the last s digits $\{2f+1, \dots, n\}$.

An element π of $\mathcal{D}_{f,n}$ factors as $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2$, where $\pi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{f,f}$, and π_1 is a $(2f, s)$ shuffle; i.e., π_1 preserves the order of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2f\}$ and of $\{2f+1, \dots, 2f+s=n\}$. Moreover, we have $l(\pi) = l(\pi_1) + l(\pi_2)$.

For any $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagram D , let D_0 denote the underlying ordinary Brauer diagram; that is, D_0 is obtained from D by forgetting the integer valued labels of the strands. If D is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagram with exactly s vertical strands, then D has a unique factorization

$$D = \alpha d \beta^{-1}, \tag{3.1}$$

where α and β are elements of $\mathcal{D}_{f,n}$, and d has underlying Brauer diagram of the form $d_0 = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2f-1} \pi$, where π is a permutation of $\{2f+1, \dots, n\}$.

3.2 Some bases

We define the following commuting, but non-conjugate elements

$$x_j = g_{j-1} \cdots g_1 x_1 g_1 \cdots g_{j-1}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Given a $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagram D , we define an element T_D whose associated $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagram $c(T_D)$ is equal to D , as follows: suppose that D has $2n$ vertices and s vertical strands, and let $f = (n - s)/2$. Let D have the factorization $D = \alpha d \beta^{-1}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}_{f,n}$, and d has underlying Brauer diagram of the form $d_0 = e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1} \pi$, with π a permutation of $\{2f+1, \dots, n\}$.

Define

$$T_d = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{2f-1}^{a_{2f-1}} (e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1} g_\pi) x_1^{c_1} x_3^{c_3} \cdots x_{2f-1}^{c_{2f-1}} x_{2f+1}^{b_{2f+1}} \cdots x_n^{b_n}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the exponents are determined as follows: if d has a horizontal strand beginning at $\mathbf{i}$ with integer valued label k , then $c_i = k$; and $c_i = 0$ otherwise. If d has a vertical strand beginning at $\mathbf{i}$ with integer valued label k , then $b_i = k$; and $b_i = 0$ otherwise. If d has a horizontal strand ending at $\bar{\mathbf{i}}$ with integer valued label k , then $a_i = k$; and $a_i = 0$ otherwise.

Finally, we set $T_D = g_\alpha T_d g_\beta^*$. Then $c(T_D) = D$.

Recall that S is called weakly admissible if e_1 is not a torsion element. The following theorem gives a set of basis of $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ involving monomials in the commuting elements x_j .

Theorem 3.1. (see [G1, Theorem 3.17]) *Let S be weakly admissible. The set $\mathbb{U} = \{T_D \mid D \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-Brauer diagram}\}$ is an S -basis of $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$.*

Let D be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagram, with $2n$ vertices and s vertical strands, having factorization $D = \alpha d \beta^{-1}$, and let T_d be defined as in (3.2) and let $T_D = g_\alpha T_d g_\beta^*$. Factor α as $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$, with α_1 a $(2f, s)$ -shuffle, and $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{f,f}$, and factor β similarly. Then we can rewrite T_D as follows:

$$T_D = g_{\alpha_1} [g_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{x}^a (e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}) \mathbf{x}^c (g_{\beta_2})^*] (g_\pi \mathbf{x}^b) (g_{\beta_1})^*, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathbf{x}^a$ is short for $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{2f-1}^{a_{2f-1}}$, and similarly for $\mathbf{x}^c$, while $\mathbf{x}^b$ denotes $x_{2f+1}^{b_{2f+1}} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$.

4 Affine cellular bases of affine BMW algebras

4.1 Tensor products of affine tangle diagrams

The category of affine (k, l) -tangle diagrams is not a tensor category in any evident fashion. Nevertheless, we can define a tensor product of

affine tangle diagrams, as follows. Let T_1 and T_2 be affine tangle diagrams (say of size (a, a) and (b, b) respectively), and suppose that T_2 has no closed loops. Then $T_1 \odot T_2$ is obtained by replacing the flagpole in the affine tangle diagram T_2 with the entire affine tangle diagram T_1 . If we regard T_1 and T_2 as representing framed tangles in the annulus cross the interval $A \times I$, then $T_1 \odot T_2$ is obtained by inserting the entire copy of $A \times I$ containing T_1 into the hole of the copy of $A \times I$ containing T_2 .

Then $T_1 \otimes T_2 \mapsto T_1 \odot T_2$ determines a linear map from $\widehat{W}_{a,S} \otimes \widehat{W}_{b,S}$ into $\widehat{W}_{a+b,S}$. Note that $(T_1 \odot T_2)^* = T_1^* \odot T_2^*$.

These maps of affine BMW algebras are not algebra homomorphism. In fact, we have

$$(1 \odot e_1)(1 \odot x_1)(1 \odot e_1) = z \odot e_1,$$

where z is a (non-scalar) central element in $\widehat{W}_{a,S}$. Nevertheless, we have

$$(A \odot B)(S \odot T) = AS \odot BT,$$

if no closed loops are produced in the product BT , in particular, if at least one of B and T has no horizontal strands.

4.2 Affine cellular bases

Using (3.3) and the remarks in Section 4.1, we can rewrite the elements T_D in (3.3) in the following form:

$$T_D = g_{\alpha_1}([g_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{x}^a(e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}) \mathbf{x}^c(g_{\beta_2})^*] \odot (g_{\pi} \mathbf{x}^b)(g_{\beta_1})^*). \quad (4.1)$$

Here, D is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -Brauer diagram with s vertical strands and $f = (n - s)/2$; α_1 and β_1 are $(n - s, s)$ -shuffles; $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_s$ and $\mathbf{x}^b = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_s^{b_s}$. Moreover, α_2 and β_2 are elements of $\mathcal{D}_{f,f}$, $\mathbf{x}^a = x_1^{a_1} x_3^{a_3} \cdots x_{2f-1}^{a_{2f-1}}$, and similarly for $\mathbf{x}^c$.

The affine $(2f, 2f)$ -tangle diagram

$$T = g_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{x}^a(e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}) \mathbf{x}^c(g_{\beta_2})^*$$

is stratified and flagpole descending in the sense of the definition given in [G1, Definition 3.5], with no vertical strands and no closed loops. Conversely, any stratified and flagpole descending affine $(2f, 2f)$ -tangle diagram with no vertical strands and no closed loops is regularly isotopic to one of the form.

Note that we can factor T as $T = xy^*$, where x and y are stratified and flagpole descending affine $(0, 2f)$ -tangle diagrams with no closed loops, namely

$$x = g_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{x}^a(\cap_{2f-1} \cdots \cap_3 \cap_1), \quad \text{and} \quad y = g_{\beta_2} \mathbf{x}^c(\cap_{2f-1} \cdots \cap_3 \cap_1),$$

where $\cap_i$ is the lower half of e_i .

In fact, any stratified and flagpole descending affine $(0, 2f)$ -tangle diagram with no closed loops is regularly isotopic to one of the form.

Lemma 4.1. (see [G1, Lemma 4.1]) *The set of $T_D \in \mathbb{U}$ with s vertical strands equals the set of elements*

$$g_\alpha(xy^* \odot g_\pi \mathbf{x}^b)(g_\beta)^*,$$

where x, y are stratified and flagpole descending affine $(0, n-s)$ -tangle diagrams with no closed loops or self-crossings of strands; α and β are $(n-s, s)$ -shuffles; $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_s$ and $\mathbf{x}^b = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_s^{b_s}$.

For each s with $s \leq n$ and $n-s$ even, let V_n^s be the span in $\widehat{W}_{n,s}$ of the set of elements $T_D \in \mathbb{U}$ with s vertical strands.

Lemma 4.2. *For each s , let $\mathbb{B}_s$ be a basis of $\widehat{H}_{n,s}(q)$. Let Σ_s be the set of elements*

$$g_\alpha(xy^* \odot t(b))(g_\beta)^* \in \widehat{W}_{n,s},$$

such that x, y are stratified and flagpole descending affine $(0, n-s)$ -tangle diagrams with no closed loops or self-crossings of strands; α and β are $(n-s, s)$ -shuffles; and $b \in \mathbb{B}_s$. Then Σ_s is a basis of V_n^s .

Proof. Recall that $\{\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_s \text{ and } b_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ is a basis of $\widehat{H}_{n,s}(q)$, and that $g_\pi \mathbf{x}^b = t(\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b)$. It follows from this and from Lemma 4.1 that V_n^s is the direct sum over (α, β, x, y) of

$$V_n^s(\alpha, \beta, x, y) = \{g_\alpha(xy^* \odot t(u))(g_\beta)^* \mid u \in \widehat{H}_{n,s}(q)\}$$

and that $u \mapsto g_\alpha(T \odot t(u))(g_\beta)^*$ is injective. This implies the result. $\square$

From [KX2, Theorem 5.7], we know that the extended affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{s,S}(q)$ of type A , for each s , is affine cellular. For each s with $s \leq n$ and $n-s$ even, Let $(*, \Lambda_s, \{\mathcal{T}(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s}, \{B_{s,\lambda}, \sigma_{s,\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s}, \mathcal{C}_s = \{c_{s,t}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_s, s, t \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)\})$ be an affine cellular basis of the affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{s,S}(q)$, where Λ_s is the set of all partitions of s under the dominance order $\preceq$; the affine S -algebra $B_{s,\lambda}$ with a k -involution $\sigma_{s,\lambda}$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_s$, is the representation ring of a product of general linear groups GL_t .

Let $\Lambda = \{(s, \lambda) \mid s \leq n \text{ and } n-s \text{ even}, \lambda \in \Lambda_s\}$ with a partial order $(s, \lambda) \geq (t, \mu)$ if $s < t$ or if $s = t$ and $\lambda \geq \mu$ (i.e. $\lambda \succeq \mu$) in Λ_s . For each pair $(s, \lambda) \in \Lambda$, we take $\mathcal{T}(s, \lambda)$ to be the set of triples (α, x, u) , where α is an $(n-s, s)$ -shuffle; x is a stratified and flagpole descending affine $(0, n-s)$ -tangle diagrams with no closed loops or self-crossings of strands; and $u \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$. For any $b \in B_{s,\lambda}$, define

$$c_{(\alpha, x, u), (\beta, y, v)}^{(s, \lambda)} \cdot b = g_\alpha(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b))(g_\beta)^*,$$

and $\mathcal{C}$ to be the set of all $c_{(\alpha, x, u), (\beta, y, v)}^{(s, \lambda)}$.

Lemma 4.3. $(c_{(\alpha, x, u), (\beta, y, v)}^{(s, \lambda)} \cdot b)^* \equiv c_{(\beta, y, v), (\alpha, x, u)}^{(s, \lambda)} \cdot \sigma_{s,\lambda}(b) \pmod{\widehat{W}_{n,S}^{>(s, \lambda)}}$.

Proof. $(g_\alpha(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b))(g_\beta)^*)^* = g_\beta(yx^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)^*)(g_\alpha)^*$, and $t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)^* \equiv t((c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)^*) = t(c_{v,u}^\lambda \cdot \sigma_{s,\lambda}(b))$ modulo the span of diagrams of rank $< s$. Hence $(c_{(\alpha,x,u),(\beta,y,v)}^{(s,\lambda)} \cdot b)^* \equiv c_{(\beta,y,v),(\alpha,x,u)}^{(s,\lambda)} \cdot \sigma_{s,\lambda}(b)$ modulo the span of diagrams of rank $< s$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *For any affine $(n-s, n-s)$ -tangle diagram A and affine (s, s) -tangle diagram B , and for any $b \in B_\lambda$, $(A \odot B)(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b))$ can be written as a linear combination of elements $(x'y^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b'b))$, modulo $\widehat{W}_{n,S}^{>(s,\lambda)}$ with b' independent of y and v .*

Proof. We have $(A \odot B)(xy^* \odot t(\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b)) = Axy^* \odot Bt(\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b)$, because $t(\tau_\pi \mathbf{t}^b)$ has only vertical strands. Therefore, also $(A \odot B)(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)) = Axy^* \odot Bt(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)$.

Note that Ax is an affine $(0, n-s)$ -tangle, and can be reduced using the algorithm of the proof of Proposition 2.18 and 2.19 in [GH] to a linear combination of stratified, flagpole descending $(0, n-s)$ -tangle x' without closed loops. The process does not affect y^* .

If B has rank strictly less than s , then the product $(A \odot B)(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b))$ is a linear combination of basis elements T_D with fewer than s vertical strands, so belong to $\widehat{W}_{n,S}^{>(s,\lambda)}$.

Otherwise, we can suppose that $B = g_\sigma \mathbf{x}^b$. Then $Bt(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b) = t(\tau_\sigma \mathbf{t}^b)t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b) \equiv t(\tau_\sigma \mathbf{t}^b c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)$ modulo the span of diagrams with fewer than s vertical strands. Moreover, $t(\tau_\sigma \mathbf{t}^b c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b)$ is a linear combination of elements $t(c_{u',v}^\lambda \cdot b'b)$, modulo $t(\widehat{H}_{s,S}^{>\lambda})$, with b' independent of v , by the affine cellularity of the basis $\mathcal{C}_s$ of $\widehat{H}_{s,S}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.1. *The affine BMW algebra $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ over a weakly admissible noetherian domain S is an affine cellular algebra with an affine cellular basis $(*, \Lambda, \{\mathcal{T}(s, \lambda)\}_{(s,\lambda) \in \Lambda}, \{B_{s,\lambda}, \sigma_{s,\lambda}\}_{(s,\lambda) \in \Lambda}, \mathcal{C} = \{c_{(\alpha,x,u),(\beta,y,v)}^{(s,\lambda)}\})$.*

Proof. For each $(s, \lambda) \in \Lambda$, let $\widehat{W}^{(s,\lambda)}$ be the right B_λ -span of the basis element $c_{(\alpha,x,u),(\beta,y,v)}^{(s,\lambda)}$ for $(\alpha, x, u), (\beta, y, v) \in \mathcal{T}(s, \lambda)$. It follows from (the proof of) Lemma 4.2 that the set $\{c_{(\alpha,x,u),(\beta,y,v)}^{(s,\lambda)}\}$ is a right B_λ -basis of $\widehat{W}^{(s,\lambda)}$. Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 implies that $\widehat{W}_{n,S} = \bigoplus_{(s,\lambda) \in \Lambda} \widehat{W}^{(s,\lambda)}$, and property (c) holds by Lemma 4.3. It remains to verify axiom (b) for affine cellular bases. Thus we have to show that for $w \in \widehat{W}_{n,S}$, and for an element $c_{(\alpha,x,u),(\beta,y,v)}^{(s,\lambda)} \cdot b = g_\alpha(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b))(g_\beta)^*$ ($b \in B_\lambda$), the product

$$w \cdot g_\alpha(xy^* \odot t(c_{u,v}^\lambda \cdot b))(g_\beta)^* \quad (4.2)$$

can be written as a linear combination of elements

$$g_{\alpha'}(x'y^* \odot t(c_{u',v}^\lambda \cdot b'b))(g_\beta)^*,$$

modulo $\widehat{W}_{n,S}^{>(s,\lambda)}$ with b' independent of (β, y, v) .

It suffices to consider products as in (4.2) with w equal to e_i or to g_i for some i , or to $w = x_1$. Then the proof is similar to the proof of [G1, Theorem 4.5], the proof that he has given there can be easily adapted to give a proof of this theorem repeatedly using Lemma 4.4, we will omit the details. $\square$

Let now A be an affine cellular algebra with a cell chain $0 = J_0 \subset J_1 \subset J_2 \subset \cdots \subset J_n = A$, such that each subquotient J_i/J_{i-1} is an affine cell ideal of A/J_{i-1} . Then J_i/J_{i-1} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}(V_i, B_i, \varphi_i)$ for some free k -module V_i of finite rank, an affine k -algebra B_i and a k -bilinear form $\varphi_i : V_i \otimes_k V_i \rightarrow B_i$. Let (φ_{st}^i) be the matrix representing the bilinear form φ_i with respect to some choices of basis of V_i . Then Koenig and Xi obtain a parameterisation of simple modules over an affine cellular algebra by establishing a bijection between isomorphism classes of simple A -modules and the set

$$\{(j, m) | 1 \leq j \leq n, m \in \text{MaxSpec}(B_j) \text{ such that some } \varphi_{st}^i \notin m\},$$

where $\text{MaxSpec}(B_j)$ denotes the maximal ideal spectrum of B_j .

Remark 4.1. We conjecture that affine BMW algebras are affine cellular in the sense of Koenig and Xi. Presumably the methods in [Yu] can be adapted to give an affine cellular basis of affine BMW algebras in the sense of Koenig and Xi. The key point is to construct an appropriate affine cellular basis for the extended affine Hecke algebra of type A , which is lifted by t to a set of $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$ which is still compatible with the anti-involution $*$ on $\widehat{W}_{n,S}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Goodman for very helpful correspondence, for giving very useful comments on affine cellular bases, and for providing me with the figures in his paper [G1] and allowing me to use them. I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Hebing Rui for very helpful correspondence and comments on this paper, from which I have learnt a lot.

References

- [BW] J.S. Birman and H. Wenzl, Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), 249-273.
- [C1] W. Cui, Affine cellularity of BLN-algebras, preprint.
- [C2] W. Cui, Affine cellularity of affine q -Schur algebras, preprint.
- [C3] W. Cui, Affine cellularity of affine Brauer algebras, preprint.
- [E1] J. Enyang, Cellular bases for the Brauer and Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras, J. Algebra. **281** (2004), 413-449.

- [E2] J. Enyang, Specht modules and semisimplicity criteria for Brauer and Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **26** (2007), 291-341.
- [G1] F.M. Goodman, Cellularity of cyclotomic Birman-Wenzl-Murakami algebras, *J. Algebra.* **321** (2009), 3299-3320.
- [G2] F.M. Goodman, Comparison of admissible conditions for cyclotomic Birman-Wenzl-Murakami algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* **214** (2010), 2009-2016.
- [G3] F.M. Goodman, Remarks on cyclotomic and degenerate cyclotomic BMW algebras, *J. Algebra.* **364** (2012), 13-37.
- [GH] F.M. Goodman and H. Hauschild, Affine Birman-Wenzl-Murakami algebras and tangles in the solid torus, *Fund. Math.* **190** (2006), 77-137.
- [GL] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, Cellular algebras, *Invent. Math.* **123** (1996), 1-34.
- [GM] F.M. Goodman and H.H. Mosley, Cyclotomic Birman-Wenzl-Murakami algebras I: Freeness and realization as tangle algebras, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications.* **18** (2009), 1089-1127.
- [GuM] J. Guilhot and V. Miemietz, Affine cellularity of affine Hecke algebras of rank two, *Math. Z.* **271** (2012), 373-397.
- [HO] R. Häring-Oldenburg, Cyclotomic Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* **161** (2001), 113-144.
- [J] V.F.R. Jones, A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1985), 103-111.
- [K] L.H. Kauffman, An invariant of regular isotopy, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **318** (2) (1990), 417-471.
- [KL] A. Kleshchev and J. Loubert, Affine cellularity of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras of finite types, <http://arXiv.org/1310.4467>.
- [KX1] S. Koenig and C. Xi, On the structure of cellular algebras, in: *Algebras and modules, II* (Geiranger, 1996), Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceedings 24 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998) pp. 365-386.
- [KX2] S. Koenig and C. Xi, Affine cellular algebras, *Adv. Math.* **229** (2012), 139-182.
- [L] G. Lusztig, Aperiodicity in quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, *Asian. J. Math.* **3** (1999), 147-177.
- [M] K. McGerty, Generalized q -Schur algebras and quantum Frobenius, *Adv. Math.* **214** (2007), 116-131.
- [Mu] J. Murakami, The Kauffman polynomial of links and representation theory, *Osaka J. Math.* **24** (1987), 745-758.

- [MT] H. Morton and P. Traczyk, Knots and algebras, in: E. Martin-Peindador, A. Rodez Usan (Eds.), *Contribuciones Matematicas en homenaje al profesor D. Antonio Plans Sanz de Bremond*, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, 1990, pp. 201-220.
- [MW] H. Morton and A. Wassermann, A basis for the Birman-Wenzl algebra, unpublished manuscript, 1989, revised 2000, 1-29.
- [Ru] H. Rui, On the classification of finite dimensional irreducible modules for affine BMW algebras, *Math. Zeit.* **275** (2013), 389-401.
- [RX] H. Rui and J. Xu, The representations of cyclotomic BMW algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* **213** (2009), 2262-2288.
- [WY1] S. Wilcox and S. Yu, The cyclotomic BMW algebra associated with the two string type B braid group, *Comm. Algebra.* **39** (2011), 4428-4446.
- [WY2] S. Wilcox and S. Yu, On the freeness of the cyclotomic BMW algebras: admissibility and an isomorphism with the cyclotomic Kauffman tangle algebra, (2009) (preprint).
- [WY3] S. Wilcox and S. Yu, On the cellularity of the cyclotomic Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **86** (2012), 911-929.
- [Xi] C. Xi, On the quasi-heredity of Birman-Wenzl algebras, *Adv. Math.* **154** (2000), 280-298.
- [Yu] S. Yu, The cyclotomic Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras, PhD thesis, University of Sydney, 2007.

Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Jin Chun Yuan
West Building
Beijing, 100084, P. R. China.
E-mail address: cwdeng@amss.ac.cn